

Comment Set C.130: Greg Buteyn

From: GButeyn@gpjco.com [mailto:GButeyn@gpjco.com]
Sent: Wed 9/27/2006 3:16 AM
To: Antelope-Pardee Project
Subject: Fw: Southern California Edison's Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Line Project

To whom it may concern;

I live on N. High Ridge Dr in Santa Clarita and was **not** notified of the project as described on the website. I have no doubt that a project of this magnitude, clearly described to the homeowners directly affected, would cause a stir in the tract but I nor my neighbors have been approached .

C.130-1

I have read through the proposed plan and it's proposed alternatives only to be somewhat confused. I think the general public should see better renderings of the proposed plans, depicting the current/existing towers and the proposed towers side by side (**website pictures and maps are a joke**). The proposal states that no residential property will be removed, can you speak to property values if the lines pass directly over existing homes, again, a reason to have clear rendering and photo's of the proposed towers vs. existing towers.

C.130-2

Will there be more hearings regarding this issue and how will all involved be notified?

C.130-3

Your time and consideration to this matter are greatly appreciated

Greg Buteyn
Executive Director - Event Services
George P. Johnson Co.
310.965.4326
310.965.4520 (fax)
gbuteyn@gpjco.com

Response to Comment Set C.130: Greg Buteyn

C.130-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding the Project's noticing procedures and review period.

C.130-2 As described in Section C.15.1.1, photographs used in the EIR/EIS were taken from vantage points called key observation positions (KOPs). Each KOP was carefully selected to display the typical or worst-case view from major travel routes or use areas that provide visual access to affected landscapes. From dozens of potential observer positions, and in consultation with the CPUC and Forest Service personnel, 14 locations were selected as KOPs for detailed analysis of the proposed Project, and 14 additional KOPs were selected for detailed analysis of alternatives.

With respect to removal of homes, as discussed in Section C.9.5 (Impact L-3), existing residential and agricultural use of the properties over which the transmission line easement would extend would likely not be precluded. However, Alternative 5, if selected, would include the removal of one or more homes, as described in Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3).

Please see General Response GR-1 regarding effects on property values.

C.130-3 Four public meetings were held August 28, 29, and 30, 2006, as denoted in General Response GR-5. No additional meetings or hearings for the Project have been scheduled. Please note that on September 13, 2006 the CPUC and the Forest Service formally extended the public review period for the Draft EIR/EIS to October 3, 2006.